

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF ARKANSAS  
WESTERN DIVISION

LITTLE ROCK SCHOOL DISTRICT

PLAINTIFF

v.

LR-C-82-866

PULASKI COUNTY SPECIAL SCHOOL  
DISTRICT NO. 1, ET AL

DEFENDANTS

MRS. LORENE JOSHUA, ET AL

INTERVENORS

KATHERINE KNIGHT, ET AL

INTERVENORS

PLAINTIFF'S RESPONSE TO CHARTER SCHOOLS'  
MOTION FOR LEAVE TO AMEND MOTION TO INTERVENE

Plaintiff Little Rock School District ("LRSD") for its Response to Charter Schools' Motion for Leave to Amend Motion to Intervene states:

The charter schools' motion for leave to amend their motion to intervene should be denied because the amendment is futile. *See, e.g., Zutz v. Nelson*, 601 F.3d 842, 850 (8<sup>th</sup> Cir. 2010). The proposed amendment includes no new factual or legal bases for intervention. For the reasons set forth in LRSD's original response and brief (*Docket Nos. 4634 and 4635*), which are hereby incorporated by reference, the charter schools' motion to intervene should be denied.

Respectfully submitted,

LITTLE ROCK SCHOOL DISTRICT  
Friday, Eldredge & Clark  
Christopher Heller (#81083)  
400 West Capitol, Suite 2000  
Little Rock, AR 72201-3493  
(501) 370-1506  
heller@fridayfirm.com

/s/ Christopher Heller

Clay Fendley (#92182)  
John C. Fendley, Jr., P.A.  
Attorney at Law  
51 Wingate Drive  
Little Rock, AR 72205  
(501) 907-9797  
clayfendley@comcast.net

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that on October 10, 2011, I have electronically filed the foregoing with the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF system, which shall send notification of such filing to the parties of record.

/s/ Christopher Heller