#### Non-Investigative Summary

There is a HIPPA violation in this report. It was not necessary to divulge the type of medication that was being given to the client and what it was being used to treat. All the staff invloved need to be listed on the incident report in the involved parties section. The question can be raised if the youth willingly walked to the ERC, was it necessary to place him in there? This will be addressed with WRJDC staff. No further action required.

# Non-Investigative Summary

This inmate appears to have gotten several incident reports during a relatively sgort period. The incident report states that the inmate compiled with the staff's directives regarding the trash; however, he was still placed on lockdown for his overall behaviors in that instance. The questions can be asked if this is excessive to expect 100% compliance 100% of the time. The issue will be addressed with WRJDC administration. No further action required.

# Non-Investigative Summary

This incident is coded incorrectly. A youth using profanity towards a staff is not verbal abuse. This could be a DIOC or RRFSD code. The use of restriction for profanity is an area that is concerning for this reader, it is being addressed with WRJDC administration. No further action required.

# Non-Investigative Summary

There are no staff listed as involved parties on the notification tab of the incident report. There is also no documentation that the youth was assessed by medical after being released from the restraint chair. This reader has a question regarding whether the youth's behaviors warranted him being placed in the restraint chari if staff were able to gain his compliance prior to him being placed in there. No further action required.

# Non-investigative Summary

The mention of the resident that the officers were dealing with at the time of this youth yelling out of his room is inconsequential to this report. The exact threats the youth was making towards his peer should be included in the report, to justify the use of lockdown for a non-aggressive behavior, especially considering the youth was behind a closed & locked door. This is also not a VBAB incident, it can be coded as DIOC. No further action required.

Report: IA - 1 Incident Report Filed

Report Date: 12/29/2014 2:42:59 PM

Page 1 of 1

#### Non-Investigative ournmery

The staff member that wrote this report should be included as an involved party on the incident report. Also, there is some concern reagarding the use of "lockdown" for random offenses by detention staff, in this instance; kicking the wall. No further action needed.

#### Non-Investigative Summary

The incident report could have been three seperate incident reports as it adressed each youth's behaviors. The extension of time on lockdown for repeated behaviors appears excessive. This issue is being addressed with WRJDC administration.

### Non-Investigative Summary

This incident reports states that 3 youth received consequences in the form of "lockdown" for their behavioors; however, only one youth is listed in the involved party section of the incident report. There is no staff mentioned in the involved party section. The use of "lockdown" is of concern to this reporter.

#### Non-investigative Summary

The staff member needs to be listed as an involved party in the incident report. I have concerns regarding what appears to be the arbitrary use of "lockdown" by the detention staff for violations of verbal misconduct. No further actions needed.

#### Non-Investigative Summary

The staff that overheard the banging is not listed as an involved party on the incident report. It is concerning that the staff can hear beating on the unit, watch the camera and determine it was one particular client. If all the cameras in all the rooms were watched, then this needs to be included in the report, to possibly justify placing a youth on lockdown. The youth should also be seen by medical if they are beating that hard on the doors to cause a noise disturbance to ensure that they aren't being injured. No further action required.

# Non-investigative Summary

This incident reports starts out by saying the officer heard the unit beating on the door; however, he singled out one youth to place on lockdown. This appears to be arbitrarily done. There is no mention of the youth being assessed by medical after having beaten on the door. The issue will be addressed with WRIDC administration. No further action required.

Report: IR - 1 Incident Report Filed

Report Date: 15/6/2014 1404-02 page

Page 1:of 1

	indicate and and an interest of the state of
There are no staff listed reader. No further action	as involved parties on the incident report. The use of "lockdown" contines to be alarming for this needed.
# * * *	(10 mars 1 mars
\$1 K. F. (1974) Market (1974)	Non-Investigative Summary
The use of confinemen	nt for discipline issues is being explored with WRJDC administration. No further action needed.
	Non-Investigative Summary
This incident could he their daily tasks, which administration. No full	ive been coded NFSD or RRFSD, as the youth doesn't appear to be hindering the staff from completing h is what a DIST code is. The issue of youth being placed on lockdown is being addressed with WRJDC ther action needed.

Report: IR - 1 Incident Report Filed

Report Date: 11/25/2014 11:13:04 AM

Page 1 of 1