

In The U.S. District Court  
FILED

2012 AUG -6 A 9:54

U.S. DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DIST. TENN.

BY \_\_\_\_\_ DEPT. CLERK

Basil Marceaux  
The "friend of the court"  
The moving party  
amicus curiae to:

1:12-cv-190

Thomas Coleman.

v

Hamilton County Commissioners  
and the County Attorney

The moving part Ask for a leave of this court for the moving party to play the role of an amicus curiae where America, known as the New World, whereas almost exclusively to the United States of America. As a landmass colonized and settled by Europeans, the American who share many common cultural traits, most notably the adherence to Christianity.

1. As the one of the highest oath citizen in our nation and you can see me at most military national park as a statue of the best and if I understand the role of an amicus curiae is, as stated by Salmon LJ (as Lord Salmon then was) in *Allen v Sir Alfred McAlpine & Sons Ltd* [1968] 2 QB 229 at p. 266 F-G that the role of an amicus curiae was to help the court by expounding the law impartially, or if one of the parties were unrepresented, by advancing the legal arguments on his behalf. So I'm a force recon Marine where a special division of the marine corp special operation command (marsoc) says about the greed of the recon marine which is the recon marine can speak without saying a word and achieve what others can image. exhibit 1

The situation in prominent cases of amici curiae are generally organizations with sizable legal budgets people think me goddly! s This amici curiae party is not a direct party to a case,

But by believing in god it could make me a indirect party like everyone else would be ,either for it not for it ,who volunteers to offer information to assist the court in deciding "god place" before it. The information provided may be a legal opinion in

the form of this brief and, a testimony that has not been solicited by any of the parties on a matter that bears on the case.

Secondly, As an amici curiae party a historian who read The Annals of Congress, formally known as The Debates and Proceedings in the Congress of the United States, a century of law making of a new nation, congressional globe the minutes to the congress, which took 3 years 4 months and a couple days submit a such briefs to advocate for a particular legal change or interpretation concerning Jesus.

In this Christian faith of Jesus not having prayer in the commission building is like the idea the man can married men which is being miss used ,married were here since the pilgrims where Edward Winslow and Susanna White, each of whom lost their spouses during the harsh winter of 1620–1621, became the first couple to be married in Plymouth( (America). Governor Bradford presided over the civil ceremony.

Marriage was considered the normal state for all adult residents of the colony. Within the confines of marriage, women and men

Formal laws were not codified until 1636. The colony's laws were based on a hybrid of English common law and religious law as laid out in the Bible. Still, some means of governance was needed; the Mayflower Compact, signed by the 41 able-bodied men aboard the Mayflower upon their arrival in Provincetown Harbor on November 21, 1620, was the colony's first governing document. the Mayflower Compact that started with a prayer

In the name of God, Amen. We, whose names are under written, the loyal subjects of our dread Sovereign Lord King James, by the Grace of God, of Great Britain, France, and Ireland, King, defender of the Faith, etc.

Having undertaken, for the Glory of God, and advancements of the Christian faith and honor of our King and Country, a voyage to plant the first colony in the Northern parts of Virginia, do by these presents, solemnly and mutually, in the presence of God, and one another, covenant and combine ourselves together into a civil body politic; for our better ordering, and preservation and furtherance of the ends aforesaid; and by virtue hereof to enact, constitute, and frame, such just and equal laws, ordinances, acts, constitutions, and offices, from time to time, as shall

be thought most meet and convenient for the general good of the colony; unto which we promise all due submission and obedience.

So here are several suggestion from people on the internet:

8:30 AM Jun 17+11

Share | Flag

Look at this part of America the bible belt. Highest divorce rate, bankruptcy, obesity, highest % of smokers, drug addicts, meth labs, pedophilia, poorest schools, racism that's off the chain, oh and very republican,,yeah keep praying it seems to be working.

7:29 AM Jun 17-10

Share | Flag

Let's see if we can put this into context. My friends and I are sitting around discussing God, Jesus et all in a public place. Someone over hears our conversation and doesn't agree with our beliefs. Does that individual have the right to ask us to cease and desist our conversation based on their beliefs? No they would not because that falls under the Constitutionally protected right of free speech I believe. Regardless of the venue for the discussion or the prayer until an official opening of the meeting it really is just a group of people instituting their right to assemble and to pray together before going to work.

ConcernedinCh...

10:31 AM Jun 16-5

Share | Flag

OH for GOD'S sake, surely there are other issues this group could bring to court. Do something for your fellow humans - coleman, jones and ms.flores instead of battling a REAL tradition among MOST residents of the scenic city. Children are hungry, many people are homeless, gangs are alive and well -- and you 3 want to cause grief to an already burdened commission. Chief Dodd make note of the rally, please.

bsh1083

3:21 AM Jun 16-2

Share | Flag

This is a joke. We live in the Bible Belt of America and some non-Christians want to abolish something that built this country. I agree with Tim, technically it is not a meeting involving government until the gavel drops. People have already taken prayer from school and now this. Look at what has happened to our schools since they took prayer from it. This is just a ridiculous attempt to take the freedom of religion away from us. Leave well enough alone and go pick on something else. I don't think one bad thing has ever come out of prayer and I seriously doubt there

ever will be. We (Christians) should not have to suffer for some other persons disbelief.

Jim

10:28 PM Jun 15+7

Share | Flag

Quit grandstanding do the job you were elected too!! You represent all people act like it!!!

Becky

10:28 PM Jun 15-8

Share | Flag

Tom from the beginning they wanted to stop prayer altogether. Even noted in this article they say they want the praying to stop and "moment of silence" instead. They want to abolish open prayer. "In Jesus name" was noted in the lawsuit because they think they can win it based on a display of preference. The article doesnt mention that they stopped saying "in Jesus' name" after they received the complaint and well before those lawsuits were ever filed. So if that were truly just their agenda that should have been enough. But theyre taking it well beyond that. I'll repeat what was said earlier today by another. Our gov protects freedom OF religion, not freedom from.

Tom

7:15 PM Jun 15+3

Share | Flag

Tasha I don't think praying is the problem, the problem is praying to the words of Christianity. Christianity is one set of beliefs that not everyone believes in. It could in fact offend people who don't follow those principles. When prayer is begun at a government meeting and they say lets bow our head in prayer how are all the other religions in that room supposed to feel? Does the commission then go into prayer for every other religion. They don't. Just one. I would suggest eliminating the prayer all together or having silent prayer where everyone can pray to whoever they want.

Tasha Scott

5:20 PM Jun 15-2

Share | Flag

this is sicking no one should be told they can not pray at anytime. what u belive in is ur choice if u dont like it u dont have to pray or lesson but u shouldnt push ur disbelifes on anyone. dose anyone know the meaning of freedom anymore the country was founded on go

Finally, As an amici curiae party historian and a protect an defend soldier at the park ask the leave of the court to decuss my thoughts concerning these quotes and

why Jesus must be on the lips of citizens who believe in him and the main job of a Christian is to get more people at the table where Moses in heaven.

!.The Americas, or America, are lands in the Western Hemisphere also known as the New World. In the English language, the Americas refers to the landmasses of North America and South America with their associated islands and regions, whereas America refers almost exclusively to the United States of America.]

As a landmass colonized and settled by Europeans, the Americas share many common cultural traits, most notably the adherence to Christianity and speaking Indo-European languages (aside from the indigenous languages of the Americas).

2.Thomas Jefferson, a man described by his contemporaries as “a French infidel in respect to religion” was ironically indebted to the Puritans for his model of civil government. The evangelical explosion of the Great Awakening in Puritan New England provided the seeds for the first Baptist churches to be planted in Episcopal Virginia, which held to a Calvinistic theology and a congregational form of church government. Jefferson gained his first clear idea of a republican government from seeing the congregationalism of a Baptist church in his vicinity. It was good politics, too, since he strengthened his party’s stance among the people through an alliance with the Baptists and all friends of religious freedom.<sup>3</sup>

The Jeffersonian distinction between Church and State is very different from the idea promoted in our day. The very phrase “separation of Church and State” is very misleading. It is not a constitutional phrase but it came afterward in the writings of Jefferson. It originated as a Calvinist/Puritan distinction between the spheres of authority of church and civil government. The Church and the State are separate spheres of governmental authority. Separation of Church and State does not mean separation of the civil sphere from God. The issue is not whether the Church should intrude on the State’s affairs. The Church should not. Neither should the State intrude on the Church’s affairs. But Jesus Christ intercedes in the affairs of both. Civil government is not secular; it still stands under the moral Law of God. This was the understanding of most American legislators until the 20th century.

Closing

So one of the real question to be answered is: When did religious freedom first start in America?

We had religious freedom under England!

The constitution did not needs to define religious freedom only to my sure it stays in place as long as time.

Was government in America in 1620 influence by people who believed in Jesus while doing their governmental duties?

As an amici curiae party historian and a protect an defend soldier at the park ask for leave too tell 2nd part.

Respectfully submitted



Basil Marceaux  
423-362-0088