UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF TENNESSEE CHATTANOOGA

§

2012 JUN 15: A 8: 30

THOMAS JOSEPH COLEMAN, III, And BRANDON RAYMOND JONES,

Plaintiffs,

-V-

HAMILTON COUNTY GOVERNMENT TENNESSEE,

FRED R. SKILLERN,
JAMES A. "JIM" FIELDS,
MITCH McCLURE,
WARREN MACKEY,
GREGORY BECK,
JOE GRAHAM,
LARRY L. HENRY,
TIM BOYD, and
CHESTER BANKSTON,
In their official capacities as Commissioners
for Hamilton County Government, and

RHEUBIN McGHEE TAYLOR, In his official capacity as County Attorney for Hamilton County Government,

Defendants.

No. 1-12-cv-190 mattice/Carter

JURY DEMAND

COMPLAINT

I. Nature of the Case:

1. Plaintiffs seek redress pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983 for the defendants' violation of rights guaranteed to the plaintiffs by the Establishment Clause of the First Amendment to the United States Constitution.

II. Jurisdiction & Venue:

- 2. This Court has jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331 over the federal question claims herein.
- 3. This Court is the proper venue pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b)(1) and (b)(2), for the defendants lie in Hamilton County, Tennessee, and all acts averred herein occurred on the property of defendant Hamilton County Government.

III. The Parties:

- 4. Plaintiff Thomas Joseph Coleman, III ("Coleman"), is a resident of Hamilton County, Tennessee.
- Plaintiff Brandon Raymond Jones ("Jones") is a resident of Hamilton County,
 Tennessee.
- 6. Defendant Hamilton County Government ("County") is a political sub-division of the State of Tennessee created under the laws of the State of Tennessee, and has a Commission form of legislative government.
- 7. At all times relevant to this action, defendant Fred R. Skillern ("Skillern") is an elected member of the Hamilton County Commission ("Commission"). Plaintiffs sue Skillern in his official capacity.
- 8. At all times relevant to this action, defendant James A. "Jim" Fields ("Fields") is an elected member of the Hamilton County Commission ("Commission"). Plaintiffs sue Fields in his official capacity.
- 9. At all times relevant to this action, defendant Mitch McClure ("McClure") is an elected member of the Hamilton County Commission ("Commission"). Plaintiffs sue McClure in his official capacity.

- 10. At all times relevant to this action, defendant Warren Mackey ("Mackey") is an elected member of the Hamilton County Commission ("Commission"). Plaintiffs sue Mackey in his official capacity.
- 11. At all times relevant to this action, defendant Gregory Beck ("Beck") is an elected member of the Hamilton County Commission ("Commission"). Plaintiffs sue Beck in his official capacity.
- 12. At all times relevant to this action, defendant Joe Graham ("Graham") is an elected member of the Hamilton County Commission ("Commission"). Plaintiffs sue Graham in his official capacity.
- 13. At all times relevant to this action, defendant Larry L. Henry ("Henry") is an elected member of the Hamilton County Commission ("Commission"). Plaintiffs sue Henry in his official capacity.
- 14. At all times relevant to this action, defendant Tim Boyd ("Boyd") is an elected member of the Hamilton County Commission ("Commission"). Plaintiffs sue Boyd in his official capacity.
- 15. At all times relevant to this action, defendant Chester Bankston ("Bankston") is an elected member of the Hamilton County Commission ("Commission"). Plaintiffs sue Bankston in his official capacity.
- 16. At all times relevant to this action, defendant Rheubin Mcghee Taylor ("Taylor") is an appointed member of Hamilton County Government, acting in the official capacity as the County Attorney and administering or otherwise leading the prayers at issue in this case.

 Plaintiffs sue Taylor in his official capacity.

IV. Facts:

- 17. The Commission is the legislative and policy making body of the County.
- Tennessee, and they are elected to four-year terms pursuant to the statutes of the State of Tennessee.
- 19. The Commission holds regularly scheduled meetings to conduct the official business of the County.
- 20. The Commission has two types of meetings, as explained on the official Hamilton County Government website: "Agenda Sessions" and "County Commission Meetings" ("meeting")."
- 21. The Commission starts the meetings with a prayer and invoking the prayer in the name of Jesus ("prayer practice").
- On May 21, 2012, the Freedom from Religion Foundation, a non-profit organization, through its attorney Patrick Elliott, sent a letter to the Commission demanding the defendants cease the practice of opening each meeting with Christian prayers in Jesus' name.
 - 23. Defendant Henry stated, publically, that the defendants will not stop the practice.
- 24. On June 6, 2012, the defendants held a "County Commission Meeting" at the Hamilton County Courthouse.
- 25. Defendant Taylor led a prayer at the start of the meeting, and closed the prayer with, "In Jesus' name."
- 26. Plaintiff Coleman addressed the Commission about the prayer and the defendants' practice of opening each meeting with a Christian prayer and his opposition to the practice.

- 27. When Coleman began to speak, defendant Henry interrupted Coleman and told Coleman to publically state his personal home address.
- 28. When Coleman refused and responded he would give his address in private,
 Henry told Coleman he had to give his address before Coleman could address the Commission.
- 29. Michelle Hubbs ("Hubbs"), a county employee in the Hamilton County Clerk and Masters Office, argued to the Commission that there should be no separation of church and state.
 - 30. During Hubbs' presentation, defendants Beck and Graham applauded.
- 31. Defendants McClure and Taylor hold themselves as ministers of the Christian religion.
- 32. The acts and omissions of the defendants in the prayer practice stated herein are a blatant endorsement by government of the Christian religion that any reasonable person would see as an endorsement of the Christian religion to the exclusion of all other religions.
- 33. The acts and omissions of the defendants in the prayer practice stated herein have no secular purpose.

V. Cause[s] of Action:

- 34. Plaintiffs incorporate ¶¶ 4-33 herein.
- 35. Such acts and omissions constitute[s]:
 - [a] Violation of 42 U.S.C. § 1983.

VI. Relief Requested:

- 36. Declaratory relief by holding or declaring the prayer practice unconstitutional.
- 37. Grant plaintiffs' application for preliminary injunctive relief to be filed forthwith.
- 38. Award plaintiffs their costs, including reasonable attorney fees and expenses pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1988.

39. Trial by jury.

Respectfully submitted,

By:

ROBIN RUSEN FLORES

TENN. BPR #20751

GA. STATE BAR #200745

Counsel for Plaintiffs 4110-A Brainerd Road Chattanooga, TN 37411 423 / 267-1575 fax 267-2703

committees a contract and

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)

	ONES of First Listed Plaintiff MACEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CAS	,	MCCLURE; WAF LARRY HENRY; County of Residence of NOTE: IN LAN	HAM. CO. GOVT; FRED SKILERN; JAMES FIELDS; MITCH MCCLURE; WARREN MACKEY; GREGORY BECK; JOE GRAHAM; LARRY HENRY;TIM BOYD; CHESTER BANKSTON, RHEUBIN TAYL County of Residence of First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE LAND INVOLVED.		
(c) Attorney's (Firm Name ROBIN RUBEN FLORES,		,	Attorneys (II Known)			
4110-A BRAINERD RD., (423 267 1575	CHATT., IN 37411					
II. BASIS OF JURISI	OICTION (Place an "X" in	One Box Only)	I. CITIZENSHIP OF P	PRINCIPAL PARTIES	(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff	
□ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	3 Federal Question (U.S. Government No		(For Diversity Cases Only)	TF DEF 1 Incorporated or Prof Business In Th	and One Box for Defendant) PTF DEF rincipal Place	
☐ 2 U.S. Government	☐ 4 Diversity		Citizen of Another State	2 Incorporated and		
Defendant	(Indicate Citizenship	of Parties in Item III)		of Business In	Another State	
			Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country	3 D 3 Foreign Nation	□ 6 □ 6	
IV. NATURE OF SUI			Population of Market	DANIE DE LOS DE LA CONTRACTOR DE LA CONT	OTHER OF A PRIMARY	
	PERSONAL INITIDY		FORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES 7 400 State Respectionment	
□ 110 Insurance □ 120 Marine □ 130 Miller Act □ 140 Negotiable Instrument □ 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment □ 151 Medicare Act □ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excl. Veterans) □ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits □ 160 Stockholders' Suits □ 190 Other Contract □ 195 Contract Product Liability □ 196 Franchise ■ REAL PROPERTY □ 210 Land Condemnation □ 220 Foreclosure □ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment □ 240 Torts to Land □ 245 Tort Product Liability □ 290 All Other Real Property	□ 315 Airplane Product Liability □ 320 Assault, Libel & Slander □ 330 Federal Employers' Liability □ 340 Marine □ 345 Marine Product Liability □ 350 Motor Vehicle □ 755 Motor Vehicle □ 755 Product Liability □ 360 Other Personal Injury CIVIL RIGHTS □ 441 Voting □ 442 Employment □ 443 Housing/ Accommodations □ 444 Welfare □ 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - □	535 Death Penalty540 Mandamus & Other550 Civil Rights	☐ 610 Agriculture ☐ 620 Other Food & Drug ☐ 625 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 ☐ 630 Liquor Laws ☐ 640 R.R. & Truck ☐ 650 Airline Regs. ☐ 660 Occupational Safety/Health ☐ 690 Other LABOR ☐ 710 Fair Labor Standards Act ☐ 720 Labor/Mgmt. Relations ☐ 730 Labor/Mgmt. Reporting & Disclosure Act ☐ 740 Railway Labor Act ☐ 790 Other Labor Litigation ☐ 791 Empl. Ret. Inc. Security Act IMMIGRATION ☐ 462 Naturalization Application ☐ 463 Ilabeas Corpus Alien Detainee ☐ 465 Other Immigration Actions	□ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 □ 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 PROPERTY RIGHTS □ 820 Copyrights □ 830 Patent □ 840 Trademark SOCIAL SECURITY □ 861 HIA (1395ff) □ 862 Black Lung (923) □ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) □ 864 SSID Title XVI □ 865 RSI (405(g)) FEDERAL TAX SUITS □ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) □ 871 IRS —Third Party 26 USC 7609	□ 400 State Reapportionment □ 410 Antitrust □ 430 Banks and Banking □ 450 Commerce □ 460 Deportation □ 470 Racketeer Influenced and □ Corrupt Organizations □ 480 Consumer Credit □ 490 Cable/Sat TV □ 810 Selective Service □ 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange □ 875 Customer Challenge □ 12 USC 3410 □ 890 Other Statutory Actions □ 891 Agricultural Acts □ 892 Economic Stabilization Act □ 893 Environmental Matters □ 895 Freedom of Information Act □ 900Appeal of Fee Determination Under Equal Access to Justice □ 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes	
▼1 Original ☐ 2 R	ate Court A	ppellate Court				
VI. CAUSE OF ACTI	ON 42 USC sect 1983 Brief description of cause	se:	- establishment clause 1s			
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:		S A CLASS ACTION	DEMAND \$		if demanded in complaint:	
VIII. RELATED CAS IF ANY	(See instructions):	UDGE	1	DOCKET NUMBER		
DATE		SIGNATURE OF A	RNEY OF RECORD			
06/15/2012)	4	
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY		- fin	4	/		
RECEIPT # A	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP	JUDGE	MAG. JU	DGE	