

From: Joe Yarbrough

Sent: Friday, February 16, 2018 7:03 PM

To: Tom Bundros <[REDACTED]>

Cc: Bill Jourdain <[REDACTED]>; Bryan Hair <[REDACTED]>; Cathy Holmes <[REDACTED]>; Hal Long <[REDACTED]>; Tom Pendley <[REDACTED]>; Tommy Boggs <[REDACTED]>

Subject: Re: Slight Change of Plans/Update

Thank you for the update Tom.

Joe W. Yarbrough

President

The Carpet and Rug Institute

P.o. Box 2048

100 South Hamilton Street

Dalton, Georgia 30722-2048

Direct: [REDACTED]

Mobile: [REDACTED]

email: [REDACTED]

On Feb 16, 2018, at 5:05 PM, Tom Bundros <[REDACTED]> wrote:

The Mayor and Council meeting for this coming Monday will NOT contain an Agenda Item for the Mayor and Council to vote for the proposed change in legislation to GA Revenue Bond Law. That proposed legislation would allow Dalton Utilities, while still requiring full approval of the City of Dalton, to issue Revenue Bonds to acquire electric assets without a voter referendum.

When talking with the Mayor, he felt that the balance of the Council needed educating on the issue, which I will be doing via an explanatory email and follow up phone conversation. He is supportive of a later called Special Meeting to accomplish our goal.

As you may know, Rep Tarvin has said the only way he would co-sponsor this Local Legislation is if he gets a letter on the City of Dalton Letterhead signed by the Mayor saying that a public meeting was held and that the Council voted in support of it.

ALSO, Charles Oliver called me this afternoon to ask questions about the proposed legislation. I explained to him that we just issued a Revenue Bond to acquire water, wastewater, and nat gas assets without a voter referendum and are simply seeking to add electric assets to the mix. He also asked about Vogtle numbers. I told him that ROUGHLY, we have expended \$160M, with another \$80M to go. He asked about the Toshiba pmts to which I said that the \$80M would be \$138M without the Toshiba pmts.

I dispelled the notion that we would be issuing a \$80M bond issue in the near term.

He asked why the need for the legislation change, I told him to preserve optionality and ensure that we could finish our obligations to the Vogtle project. I hesitated and did not want to create a splash by

saying that a voter referendum would draw out the anti-nuke crazies to Dalton nor say that the City's transfer pmt would be in jeopardy if we couldn't issue debt.

He then asked if we could walk away from the project and I said no, we have \$160M in it and are contractually bound to pay our share to finish. He asked if we could sell our 1.6%, to which I said that the other co-owners are content with their existing ownership percentages.

Tom Bundros

Dalton Utilities/OptiLink

██████████ (office)

██████████ (mobile)

██████████