IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF TENNESSEE AT CHATTANOOGA, TENNESSEE

GEORGE HARRISON, Plaintiff,

Vs.

| JURY DEMAND |
|-------------|
| CASE NO |

CITY OF CHATTANOOGA OFFICER KAUMAR HUGHES, (Individually and Official Capacity) OFFICER MATHEW LYNCH, (Individually and Official Capacity) Defendants.

COMPLAINT

Comes now, the plaintiff, by and through counsel, and for a Complaint would state as follows:

JURISDICTION

- 1. The Plaintiff is George Harrison. Plaintiff is a resident of Hamilton County, State of Tennessee. He is a resident of the Eastern District of Tennessee;
- 2. The City of Chattanooga Municipal Corporation is organized under the laws and Constitution of the State of Tennessee. It is a corporate entity capable of suing and being sued. Defendant City maintains and operates the City of Chattanooga Police Department. The Defendant City of Chattanooga is an entity for the purpose of jurisdiction and is a resident of the State of Tennessee in the Eastern District of Tennessee;
- 3. The Defendants Kaumar Hughes and Mathew Lynch are police officers employed by the City of Chattanooga and through its agency, the Chattanooga Police Department. For purpose of jurisdiction, Hughes and Lynch are residents in the Eastern District of Tennessee. This action is brought against these officers individually, and in their official capacity;

- 4. This Court has jurisdiction over the action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§1331 and 1343(3) and that the controversy arises under the <u>United States Constitution</u> and under 42 U.S.C. § 1983 and 28 USC §§2201 and 2202. The Court has authority to award attorney's fees pursuant to 42 USC §1988. Each and all of the acts alleged herein were done by Defendants, or their officers, agents, and other employees, under color of law and pursuant to the statutes, ordinances, regulations, customs, and usages of the City of Chattanooga and the State of Tennessee.
- 5. The factual allegations occurred in Chattanooga, Hamilton County, Tennessee and in the Eastern District of Tennessee. Venue is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391.
- 6. The Defendants Kaumar Hughes and Mathew Lynch at the time of the facts subject of the complaint were Chattanooga Police officers, employed by the City of Chattanooga through the police department and were certified police officers authorized by state statute to act as law enforcement officers. They were acting in the course and scope of their employment at all times identified in the Complaint. The Defendants while acting under color of state law deprived the Plaintiff George Harrison of his Fourth, Fifth, Eight, Thirteenth and Fourteenth Amendment rights under the United States Constitution and the right to travel. These Officers used and abused the authority given to them by the State of Tennessee and City of Chattanooga.
- 7. The Defendants Kaumar Hughes were all wearing the uniform of the City of Chattanooga Police Department and were using vehicles of the City of Chattanooga Police department and carried equipment of the City of Chattanooga Police department on May 18, 2018. These Defendants held themselves out to be Officers of the City of Chattanooga Police department on that date. These defendants also acted per the instructions of supervising officers and under the authority of the City of Chattanooga Police Department and with the knowledge of the department and pursuant to the City's assignment on the dates alleged herein.

8. Plaintiff George Harrison was damaged. He suffered economic and non-economic damages. The Defendants caused Plaintiff George Harrison's damages due to a constitutional deprivation of fundamental and recognized substantial rights, due to the deliberate indifference of its officers or alternatively, due to a policy procedure or custom of the police department, failure to train officers, and failure to supervise officers.

FACTS

- 9. Plaintiff adopts the allegations of the preceding paragraphs 1-8 as if fully restated herein;
- 10. On May 18, 2018, Officers were called to 4700 Montview Drive Chattanooga, Tennessee in Hamilton County, Tennessee where they encountered Rene Richards;
- and she named him George Harris or George Harrison. Ms. Richards gave a list of identifiers. She stated he was a middle aged white male in his 50's, with a red beard and that he drove a black Dodge truck, and had a large mole on his left arm. Officers used their computers to search for "George Harrison" in the driver's license data base. Officers accessed a picture of the Plaintiff and showed it to the victim at Erlanger Hospital. She identified the plaintiff. Ms. Richards was injured from a severe beating and was intoxicated. Officers were unable to access the vehicle registration to corroborate other information about the vehicle driven by the suspect due to malfunction of the system. Further, officers did not seek access to vehicle records to exculpate Plaintiff. The Plaintiff George Harrison's physical identifiers did not match the description given by the victim. Officers did not assess the investigation and all of the factors to determine if probable cause existed. The Officers Kaumar Hughes and Mathew Lynch issued the warrant for George Harrison, the Plaintiff bearing warrant number #1712198 after consultation with the special victim unit;

- 12. On June 30, 2018 plaintiff George Harrison and wife, Mallory Harrison arrived by plane at the Baltimore Washington Marshal airport. George and Mallory Harrison met with Kay Olive, Plaintiffs mother and other family members. The family was traveling to Iceland for a family vacation. Upon attempting to board the plane for Iceland, Plaintiff George Harrison was arrested by homeland Security and local police and taken into custody. George Harrison was handcuffed and taken to a secure area in the airport. The arrest occurred in the presence of his family and other travelers. After hours passed the arrest warrant from Hamilton county Tennessee was transmitted to the local police and George Harrison was taken from the airport to Anne Arundel County Maryland detention center and jail based upon warrant #1712198;
- 13. The plaintiff and the remainder of the family were unable to travel on the family vacation;
- 14. George Harrison remained in the custody of the Sherriff of Anne Arundel County for three days. The Case in Hamilton County was dismissed based upon the Plaintiff George Harrison being misidentified. Victim of the Aggravated Assault, Rene Richards indicated that George was misidentified;
 - 15. George Harrison was released from custody on July 2nd, 2018;
- 16. George Harrison suffered injury to his shoulders from being handcuffed by police in Anne Arundel County in response to the errant warrant issued for his arrest;
- 17. During his incarceration in Anne Arundel County, Plaintiff George Harrison immediately and continually communicated to Defendant City of Chattanooga that they had arrested the wrong person and that the investigation misidentified him and that he was falsely arrested. The City of Chattanooga did not release the Plaintiff.

CAUSES OF ACTION

18. The plaintiff re-alleges and sets forth all of the paragraphs preceding number Paragraphs 1-17 as is fully set forth and alleged herein.

A. POLICIES PRACTICES AND PROCEDURES (42 U.S.C. 1983)

- 19. Plaintiff alleges that Defendants violated his constitutional rights as alleged in the complaint. The violation resulted from the official policy, practice procedure, regulation, or custom adopted by official policy makers or policy making officials for the City through its Police Department. Alternatively, Defendants by their custom and de-facto policy and procedure caused the violation to the Plaintiff's constitutional rights. Plaintiff's misidentification due to the policies, practices and procedure of the City of Chattanooga Police department lead to the false arrest of the Plaintiff and his subsequent injuries.
- 20. The City of Chattanooga Police Department through its officers under color of law, and by Defendants custom and de-facto policy and procedure caused the violation to the Plaintiff's constitutional rights and did not accurately take the history from the victim of assault Rene Richards. Moreover, Officers did not corroborate the history given by the victim, did not report accurately all of the information to supervisors and special victims unit officers, and failed to notify supervisors of the malfunction in software which prevented officers from corroborating information about the Plaintiff, George Harrison. The officers failed to consider the victim's incapacity as a result of intoxication and injuries, failed to follow established techniques in conducting the investigation and identification of the suspect, continued to misidentify the Plaintiff after acknowledging that there was no corroboration for the physical identifiers, including age, hair color, vehicle identification through vehicle registration, or other witnesses. The Defendants

issued a warrant without probable cause. All of these were the failings were the result of Officers following longstanding practices, customs and procedures of the Chattanooga Police Department.

- 21. All the systematic deficiencies outlined in the above Paragraph are the product of official policies, procedures, customs, practices, and actions that were promulgated, occasioned, and authorized either tacitly or overtly by the City of Chattanooga Police Department, and the defendants, and by and through their policy makers, and all of them caused or materially contributed to the systemic and unconstitutional indifference to the Plaintiff, George Harrison's constitutional rights.
- 22. As a direct and proximate result of the violation of the Plaintiff's civil rights by the City of Chattanooga, under the <u>Fourth Amendment</u>, <u>Fifth amendment</u>, <u>Eight Amendment</u>
 Thirteenth amendment, and the Fourteenth Amendment. Plaintiff suffered the following injuries:
 - a. mental anguish, pain and suffering;
 - b. physical injury to his shoulders and upper back;
 - c. physical pain and suffering.
- 23. Plaintiff is entitled to reasonable attorney's fees, costs, and expenses from the Defendants pursuant to 42 U.S.C. §1983.

B. FAILURE TO TRAIN (42 U.S.C. 1983)

24. The City of Chattanooga Police Department through its policy makers in by enactment or acquiescence adopted a policy of inadequate training for police officers to care out their duties. Failure, which was tacitly authorized by the City, was persistent and widespread that it constituted an official policy in action. The adoption of these policies and the failure to address adequate training in these policies is alleged in support of the cause of action. The City of Chattanooga Police Department failed to train Officers with regard to investigation of offenses,

and particularly those related to violence, identification practices, to train with regard to the unreliability of injured and intoxicated witnesses, the danger to innocent citizens of misidentification Plaintiff relies on the allegations in paragraph 1-17, and in 19. The City failed to train officers in the fourth amendment probable cause standard and in the process and standard for issuance of warrants for arrest.

- Amendment, Eight Amendment, Thirteenth Amendment, and the Fourteenth Amendment, and the right to travel. Moreover, the failure of the City Of Chattanooga Police Department to provide such training not only resulted in the systematic deficiencies outlined in the above paragraph, but also recklessly posed substantial risk of harm to the health and safety of the Plaintiff, George Harrison. Failure to train amounted to deliberate indifference. Said failure was the proximate cause of the violation of plaintiff's constitutional rights and subsequent damages.
- 26. Plaintiff has been damaged as a result of a proximate and direct result of the conduct of City of Chattanooga Police Department in their failure to provide adequate training where it relates herein.
- 27. Plaintiff, George Harrison has been damaged as a direct and proximate result of City of Chattanooga Police Department's failure in its official acts and omissions.
- 28. Plaintiff is entitled to reasonable attorney's fees, costs, and expenses pursuant to 42 U.S.C. §1983.

C. FAILURE TO SUPERVISE (42 U.S.C. 1983)

29. Defendants, City Of Chattanooga Police by and through their supervisory and management personnel, are vested with the final decision-making authority as described in the Tennessee Code and local ordinances and resolutions. Customs, policies, and practices adopted

by the City of Chattanooga Police Department were either approved or adopted by the City Of Chattanooga Police Department Jail through the actions or omissions of its supervisory and management personnel. The customs, policies, practices and customs were persistent and widespread so as to constitute official policies and actions.

- 30. Defendants, through its supervisory and management personnel were vested with the duty to exercise reasonable and adequate supervision, direction, and control over its Officers. The failure to supervise caused the damages to the plaintiff, and deprived plaintiff, George Harrison of rights under the Fourth Amendment, Fifth Amendment, Eight Amendment Thirteenth Amendment, and the Fourteenth Amendment. The failure to supervise is alleged in support of the cause of action:
 - a. being deliberately indifferent to the risk of misidentification;
 - b. failing to provide officers with training and equipment;
 - c. failure to supervise, adopt, establish and operate and appropriate internal audit system by supervision;
 - d. failure to supervise, adopt, establish and operate an appropriate criminal and vehicle registration information system;
 - e. failure to supervise, adopt, establish and implement whistleblower pathways to report failure to follow appropriate, policies customs, and procedures.
 - f. failure to insure that probable cause exists before the issue of warrants.
- 31. The above defendants and particularly, City Of Chattanooga Police Department failed to adequately supervise, direct, and control officers and said failure was either overtly or tacitly authorized by the City Of Chattanooga Police Department through a supervisor or management personnel and was so persistent and widespread that it constituted widespread an official policy and action.

- 32. But for the failure to exercise reasonable and adequate supervision, Plaintiff's constitutional rights would not have been violated.
- 33. As set forth more fully herein, the Plaintiff, George Harrison has been damaged and has suffered as a direct and proximate result of the Defendant City of Chattanooga Police Department failures or actions and omissions.
- 34. Plaintiff has been damaged as a direct and proximate cause of the acts or omissions of the Defendants through its official actions. The Defendants, through their acts and omissions were intentionally willful, wanton, reckless, and malicious and showed a deliberate indifference and reckless disregard for the rights of the Plaintiff, George Harrison.
- 35. Plaintiff is entitled to an award of punitive or exemplary damages in an amount sufficient to punish the Defendants for their actions against Plaintiff, George Harrison and to prevent such conduct in the future.
- 36. The plaintiff is entitled to reasonable attorney's fees, costs, and expenses from Defendants provided in 42 U.S.C. §1983.

D. DELIBERATE INDIFFERENCE (42 U.S.C. 1983)

37. The Defendants, through their acts and omissions were intentionally willful, wanton, reckless, and malicious and showed a deliberate indifference and reckless disregard for the rights of the, Plaintiff. Defendants failed to make an investigation to establish Fourth Amendment probable cause grounds to arrest the Plaintiff. The City and its officers were in possession of information to exonerate the Plaintiff from any misidentification. Failure to review exculpatory information before issuing the arrest warrant amounts to deliberate indifference to the constitutional rights of the Plaintiff, George Harrison. Plaintiff is entitled to an award of compensatory damages.

- Amendment, Fifth Amendment, Eight Amendment Thirteenth amendment, and the Fourteenth Amendment and deprived Plaintiff, George Harrison of recognized substantial constitutional rights. Defendants issued the warrant against Plaintiff, George Harrison without probable cause, even though the City and the Defendant Officers were in possession of information to show that the Plaintiff was not the person suspected of the crime. All of the acts and omissions described herein were under color of state law;
- 39. Plaintiff's damages were the direct and proximate result of the actions or omissions of the Defendants fully set forth herein.
- 40. As a direct and proximate cause of the result of the failures and omissions and official actions of the Defendant, Plaintiff has been damaged.
- 41. The Defendant's official acts and omissions were intentionally willful, wanton, reckless, and malicious and they are the product a complete and deliberate indifference and conscious and reckless disregard to the rights of the plaintiff. Therefore, plaintiff is entitled to punitive damages an exemplary damages in an amount sufficient to punish Defendant and to deter said Defendant and others from like future conduct.

PRAYERS FOR RELIEF

- 42. The Plaintiff prays that the Court would enter judgment as follows:
 - a. That process issue;
 - b. That a jury be impaneled to hear the controversy before the Court;
 - c. That the Court order for all the constitutional violations, compensatory and actual damages in the amount not less than Five Million Dollars

- (\$5,000,000.00) for plaintiff against the City, and Defendants Lynch and Hughes not less than One Million Dollars (\$1,000,000.00) each;
- d. That the Court would find punitive damages against the Defendants in the amount not less than Twenty Millions Dollars (\$20,000,000.00);
- e. That the Court would order reasonable attorney's fees pursuant to 42 U.S.C.§1983 along with any costs and expenses in the prosecution of this action;
- f. For any other general relief as is required by law.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED,

MCKOON, WILLIAMS, ATCHLEY & STUCLE, PLLC

BY: /s/ Clayton M. Whittaker

CLAYTON M. WHITTAKER, BPR#13461

Attorneys for Plaintiff 633 Chestnut Street, Suite 1500 Chattanooga, TN 37450

(423) 756-6400/fax: (423) 756-8600 Email: cwhittaker@mwalawfirm.com

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

| I. (a) PLAINTIFFS George Harrison | | | | DEFENDANTS City of Chattanoog | | | | | |
|--|---|--|------------------------|---|--|--|--|---|----------------------|
| (b) County of Residence of (E. | of First Listed Plaintiff LXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF C. | Hamilton ASES) | | County of Residence NOTE: IN LAND COUNTER TRACT | (IN U.S. | sted Defendant PLAINTIFF CASES TION CASES, USE TONOLVED. | | OF | |
| (c) Attorneys (Firm Name, McKoon, Williams, Atchle 633 Chestnut St., Suite 1 (423) 756-6400 | Address, and Telephone Number ey & Stulce, PLLC 1500, Chattanooga, TI | er) N 37450 | | Attomeys (If Known) | | | | | |
| II. BASIS OF JURISDI | ICTION (Place an "X" in (| One Box Only) | III. CI | TIZENSHIP OF P | RINCIP | AL PARTIES | (Place on "Y" in | One Box f | or Plaintif |
| 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff | 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government | Not a Party) | | (For Diversity Cases Only) P | TF DEF | | and One Box for | | |
| 2 U.S. Government Defendant | ☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizensh | ip of Parties in Item III) | Citize | en of Another State | 2 🗇 2 | Incorporated and of Business In | | 1 5 | 5 |
| | | | | en or Subject of a | 3 🗖 3 | Foreign Nation | | 1 6 | 1 6 |
| IV. NATURE OF SUIT | (Place an "X" in One Box Or | ılv) | For | eigh Country | Click her | e for: Nature of Su | uit Code Descrip | tions | |
| CONTRACT | | ORTS | FO | RFEITURE/PENALTY | | NKRUPTCY | | STATUTI | ES |
| ☐ 110 Insurance ☐ 120 Marine ☐ 130 Miller Act ☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument ☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment ☐ 151 Medicare Act ☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) | PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assault, Libel & Slander 330 Federal Employers' Liability 340 Marine 345 Marine Product | PERSONAL INJUR 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability 367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability | □ 690 | 5 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 0 Other | PROPE 820 Cop 830 Pate 840 Trace | USC 157 RTY RIGHTS yrights ent | ☐ 375 False C ☐ 376 Qui Tar | n (31 USC)) eapportions st and Bankin ree tion er Influence | ment g ced and |
| ☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits ☐ 160 Stockholders' Suits ☐ 190 Other Contract ☐ 195 Contract Product Liability ☐ 196 Franchise | Liability 350 Motor Vehicle 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability 360 Other Personal Injury 362 Personal Injury - Medical Malpractice | PERSONAL PROPER 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal Property Damage 385 Property Damage Product Liability | ☐ 720 ☐ 740 ☐ 75 | D Fair Labor Standards Act D Labor/Management Relations D Railway Labor Act I Family and Medical Leave Act D Other Labor Litigation | ☐ 861 HIA ☐ 862 Blac ☐ 863 DIW | (1395ff) ck Lung (923) VC/DIWW (405(g)) D Title XVI | 480 Consum 480 Consum 480 Consum 50 Cable/S 850 Securiti Exchan 890 Other S 891 Agricult 893 Environ 895 Freedon 895 Freedon | at TV es/Commo ge tatutory Ac tural Acts mental Ma | edities/ |
| REAL PROPERTY 210 Land Condemnation 220 Foreclosure 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 240 Torts to Land 245 Tort Product Liability 290 All Other Real Property | CIVIL RIGHTS 3 440 Other Civil Rights 441 Voting 442 Employment 443 Housing/ Accommodations 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other | PRISONER PETITION Habeas Corpus: 463 Alien Detainee 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence 530 General 535 Death Penalty Other: 540 Mandamus & Other 550 Civil Rights | □ 462 | I Employee Retirement Income Security Act IMMIGRATION 2 Naturalization Application 5 Other Immigration Actions | □ 870 Taxe or E □ 871 IRS- 26 U | AL TAX SUITS es (U.S. Plaintiff Defendant) —Third Party USC 7609 | Act ☐ 896 Arbitrat: ☐ 899 Adminis Act/Rev | ion strative Pro iew or App Decision stionality o | ocedure peal of |
| | 448 Education | ☐ 555 Prison Condition ☐ 560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of Confinement | | | | | | | |
| V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" in | | | | | | | | | |
| | te Court | Appellate Court | Reop | (specify) | r District | ☐ 6 Multidistr Litigation Transfer | 1- | Multidist Litigation Direct Fil | 1 - |
| VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO | 1 42 1150 1083 | use: | e filing (D | o not cite jurisdictional stat | utes unless di | iversity): | | | |
| VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT: | | IS A CLASS ACTION | | EMAND \$ 26,000,000.00 | | CHECK YES only URY DEMAND: | | complain | t: |
| VIII. RELATED CASE IF ANY | (See instructions): | JUDGE | | | DOCKE | ET NUMBER | | | |
| DATE 02/11/2019 FOR OFFICE USE ONLY | | SIGNATURE OF ATT | ORNEY OF | F RECORD | | | | | |
| | OUNT | APPLYING IFP | 7 | JUDGE | | MAG. JUI | DGE | | |

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

| Eastern Distric | et of Tennessee | | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| George Harrison |))) | | | | | |
| Plaintiff(s) V. City of Chattanooga, et al. Defendant(s) | Civil Action No. Civil Action No. Civil Action No. | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| SUMMONS IN A | A CIVIL ACTION | | | | | |
| To: (Defendant's name and address) City of Chattanooga c/o City Attorney, Phillip A. Noblett 100 E. 11th Street, Suite 200 2nd Floor City Hall Annex Chattanooga, TN 37402 | | | | | | |
| A lawsuit has been filed against you. | | | | | | |
| Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney, whose name and address are: Clayton M. Whittaker, Esquire McKoon, Williams, Atchley & Stulce, PLLC 633 Chestnut Street, Suite 1500 Chattanooga, TN 37450 (423) 756-6400 | | | | | | |
| If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be ex You also must file your answer or motion with the court. | ntered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. | | | | | |
| | CLERK OF COURT | | | | | |
| Date: | | | | | | |
| | Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk | | | | | |

Civil Action No.

PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (1))

| was rec | This summons for (nanceived by me on (date) | ne of individual and title, if any) . | | | | | | |
|---------|---|--|---------------------------------|--------------|------|--|--|--|
| | ☐ I personally served | the summons on the individual at (place) | | | | | | |
| | | | on (date) | ; or | | | | |
| | ☐ I left the summons | ace of abode with (name) table age and discretion who res | sides there | 7) | | | | |
| | on (date) | | vidual's last known address; or | sides inere, | | | | |
| | | ons on (name of individual) accept service of process on behalf of (n | ame of organization) | , who | o is | | | |
| | designated by law to | | on (date) | ; or | | | | |
| | ☐ I returned the summ | ☐ I returned the summons unexecuted because | | | | | | |
| | ☐ Other (specify): | | | | | | | |
| | My fees are \$ | for travel and \$ | for services, for a total of \$ | 0.00 | | | | |
| | I declare under penalt | y of perjury that this information is true. | | | | | | |
| Date: | | | | | | | | |
| | | | Server's signature | | | | | |
| | | | Printed name and title | | 10 | | | |
| | | | Server's address | | | | | |

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc:

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

| | Eastern Di | istrict of | Tennessee | | | | |
|--|--|------------|---|--|--|--|--|
| George Har | rison |) | | | | | |
| Plaintiff(s v. City of Chattano Defendant | oga, et al. |) | Civil Action No. | | | | |
| | SUMMONS I | IN A CIV | VIL ACTION | | | | |
| To: (Defendant's name and address) Officer Kaumar Hughes, Individually and Official Capacity c/o Chattanooga Police Department 3410 Amnicola Highway Chattanooga, TN 37406 | | | | | | | |
| A lawsuit has been file | ed against you. | | | | | | |
| Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney, whose name and address are: Clayton M. Whittaker, Esquire McKoon, Williams, Atchley & Stulce, PLLC 633 Chestnut Street, Suite 1500 Chattanooga, TN 37450 (423) 756-6400 | | | | | | | |
| If you fail to respond, j You also must file your answer | udgment by default will to our motion with the court | be entered | d against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. | | | | |
| | | | CLERK OF COURT | | | | |
| Date: | | | Simulation of Class Day 2011 | | | | |
| | | | Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk | | | | |

Civil Action No.

PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (1))

| | This summons for (nan | ne of individual and title, if any) | | |
|--------|------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|--------------|
| was re | ceived by me on (date) | | | |
| | ☐ I personally served | the summons on the individual at | (place) | |
| | | | on (date) | ; or |
| | ☐ I left the summons | at the individual's residence or us | ual place of abode with (name) | |
| | | , a person | of suitable age and discretion who res | sides there, |
| | on (date) | , and mailed a copy to th | e individual's last known address; or | |
| | ☐ I served the summo | ons on (name of individual) | | , who is |
| | designated by law to | accept service of process on behal | f of (name of organization) | |
| | | | on (date) | ; or |
| | ☐ I returned the sumr | nons unexecuted because | | ; or |
| | ☐ Other (specify): | | | |
| | My fees are \$ | for travel and \$ | for services, for a total of \$ | 0.00 |
| | I declare under penalt | y of perjury that this information i | s true. | |
| | | | | |
| Date: | | | Server's signature | |
| | | | | |
| | | | Printed name and title | |
| | | | | |
| | | | Sorver's address | |

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc:

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

| | Eastern Distr | ict of Tennessee | | | |
|--|--|---|--|--|--|
| George Har | rison |))) | | | |
| Plaintiff(s V. City of Chattanon Defendant | oga, et al. |)) Civil Action No.)))) | | | |
| | SUMMONS IN | A CIVIL ACTION | | | |
| To: (Defendant's name and address) | Officer Mathew Lynch, Ind c/o Chattanooga Police De 3410 Amnicola Highway Chattanooga, TN 37406 | | | | |
| A lawsuit has been file | d against you. | | | | |
| Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney, whose name and address are: Clayton M. Whittaker, Esquire McKoon, Williams, Atchley & Stulce, PLLC 633 Chestnut Street, Suite 1500 Chattanooga, TN 37450 (423) 756-6400 | | | | | |
| If you fail to respond, j You also must file your answer | udgment by default will be or motion with the court. | entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. | | | |
| | | CLERK OF COURT | | | |
| Date: | | | | | |
| | _ | Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk | | | |

Civil Action No.

PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (1))

| was red | This summons for (na | me of individual and title, if any) | | | |
|---------|-----------------------|---|--|--------------|---|
| waste | • | d the summons on the individual at | (place) | | |
| | | | on (date) | ; or | |
| | ☐ I left the summons | s at the individual's residence or use | of suitable age and discretion who res | sides there, | |
| | on (date) | | e individual's last known address; or | | |
| | | ons on (name of individual) accept service of process on behalf | of (name of organization) | , who is | ; |
| | 0.550 8 | | on (date) | ; or | |
| | ☐ I returned the sum | mons unexecuted because | | ; or | |
| | ☐ Other (specify): | | | | |
| | My fees are \$ | for travel and \$ | for services, for a total of \$ | 0.00 | _ |
| | I declare under penal | ty of perjury that this information is | s true. | | |
| Date: | | | | | |
| | | | Server's signature | | |
| | | | Printed name and title | | |
| | | | Server's address | | |

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc: