CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS	DEFENDANTS Lohppy Boy Fulto, individually and in his conscitues									
MARY ANN STOTTS and, HEARLENE A. DISHEROON				DEFENDANTS Johnny Ray Fults, individually and in his capacity as Grundy Co., TN Road Superintendent; The Grundy County, TN Highway Department and Grundy County, TN						
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Grundy				County of Residence of First Listed Defendant Grundy						
(EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)				(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.						
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number) Robert S. Peters, Swafford, Peters, Priest & Hall 120 North Jefferson Street, Winchester, Tennessee 37398 (931) 967-3888				Attorneys (If Known))					
H PACIC OF HIDION	ICTION (N		III CI	TIZENCIUD OF D	DINCIP	I DADDIEC				
II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only)			III. CI	II. CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES (Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff (For Diversity Cases Only) and One Box for Defendant)						
☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)		Citize		TF DEF X 1 X 1	Incorporated or Pri		PTF	DEF 4	
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)		Citize	en of Another State	7 2 0 2	Incorporated and P of Business In A		□ 5	□ 5	
				Citizen or Subject of a 3 3 5 Foreign Nation 6 6						
IV. NATURE OF SUIT (Place an "X" in One Box Only)				Click here for: Nature of Suit Code Descriptions.						
CONTRACT 110 Insurance	PERSONAL INJURY	DRTS PERSONAL INJUR	$\overline{}$	RFEITURE/PENALTY		KRUPTCY		STATUTI	ES	
☐ 120 Marine— ☐ 130 Miller Act ☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument	310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability	365 Personal Injury - Product Liability 367 Health Care/		5 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 0 Other	☐ 423 With	eal 28 USC 158 drawal USC 157	☐ 375 False Claims Act ☐ 376 Qui Tam (31 USC — 3729(a)) ☐ 400 State Reapportionment		nent	
☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment	☐ 320 Assault, Libel & Slander	Pharmaceutical Personal Injury			PROPERTY RIGHTS 820 Copyrights		☐ 410 Antitrust☐ 430 Banks and Banking			
☐ 151 Medicare Act ☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted	☐ 330 Federal Employers' Liability	Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal			□ 830 Patent □ 835 Patent - Abbreviated New Drug Application □ 840 Trademark SOCIAL SECURITY □ 4		450 Comme	450 Commerce 460 Deportation		
Student Loans (Excludes Veterans)	☐ 340 Marine ☐ 345 Marine Product	Injury Product Liability					☐ 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations ☐ 480 Consumer Credit			
☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment	Liability	PERSONAL PROPER		LABOR						
of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits	☐ 350 Motor Vehicle ☐ 355 Motor Vehicle	☐ 370 Other Fraud☐ 371 Truth in Lending	D 710	Fair Labor Standards Act		☐ 861 HIA (1395ff) ☐ 485 Teleph ☐ 862 Black Lung (923) Protec		ne Consum	ier	
☐ 190 Other Contract ☐ 195 Contract Product Liability	Product Liability 360 Other Personal	☐ 380 Other Personal Property Damage	D 720	D Labor/Management Relations		C/DIWW (405(g))	☐ 490 Cable/S		lities/	
☐ 196 Franchise	Injury ☐ 362 Personal Injury -	☐ 385 Property Damage Product Liability		Railway Labor Act	□ 865 RSI (Exchan	ge		
DELL BROWNS	Medical Malpractice			l Family and Medical Leave Act			☐ 890 Other St ☐ 891 Agricult	tural Acts		
REAL PROPERTY 210 Land Condemnation	CIVIL RIGHTS	PRISONER PETITION Habeas Corpus:		Other Labor Litigation Employee Retirement		AL TAX SUITS s (U.S. Plaintiff	☐ 893 Environ ☐ 895 Freedon			
☐ 220 Foreclosure ☐ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment	441 Voting 442 Employment	463 Alien Detainee		Income Security Act	or De	efendant)	Act		ation	
240 Forts to Land	☐ 443 Housing/	☐ 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence				☐ 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609 ☐ 896 Arbitration ☐ 899 Administrative Procedum		cedure		
245 Tort Product Liability290 All Other Real Property	Accommodations 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment Other 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other 540 Mandamus & Other 550 Civil Rights 555 Prison Condition 560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of Confinement			IMMIGRATION				iew or App Decision	eal of	
				Naturalization Application Other Immigration			☐ 950 Constitutionality of			
			, IS 403	Actions				State Statutes		
V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" in	One Box Only)									
	e Court	Appellate Court	4 Reins Reope	ened Anothe (specify)	er District	6 Multidistri- Litigation - Transfer	-	Multidist Litigation Direct File	1 -	
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	140 U.S.C. 81983	tute under which you are	e filing <i>(De</i>	o not cite jurisdictional stat	tutes unless div	versity):				
VIII DECEMBERDO IN		sal of plaintiffs by c								
VII. REQUESTED IN CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION UNDER RULE 23, F.R.Cv.P.				MAND \$ 500,000.00	CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint: JURY DEMAND: Yes □ No					
VIII. RELATED CASE IF ANY	(See instructions):	JUDGE			DOCKE	ΓNUMBER				
DATE 08/28/2019		SIGNATURE OF ATT	ORNEY OF	Telen)					
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY		/		,						
RECEIPT # AM	OUNT	APPLYING IFP		JUDGE		MAG. JUDO	3E			

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF TENNESSEE WINCHESTER DIVISION

MARY ANN STOTTS and,)
HEARLENE A. DISHEROON)
)
Plaintiffs,)
)
\mathbf{v}_{\star}) No.:
JOHNNY RAY FULTS, individually and in his capacity as Grundy County, Tennessee, Road Superintendent))))
THE GRUNDY COUNTY, TENNESSEE HIGHWAY DEPARTMENT and GRUNDY COUNTY, TENNESSEE Defendants.))))

COMPLAINT

1. The plaintiffs Mary Ann Stotts and Hearlene A. Disheroon are residents and citizens of Grundy County, Tennessee, and both plaintiffs at times material were employees of the Grundy County Highway Department. The defendant Johnny Ray Fults is a citizen and resident of Grundy County, Tennessee, and at times material to this case was the elected road superintendent of Grundy County. The defendant Grundy County Highway Department is a department within the Ground County government and is charged with the responsibility of maintaining the road and highways in Grundy County. The defendant Grundy County is a governmental entity organized and existing under the laws of the State of Tennessee. In doing the acts alleged in the complaint the defendant Johnny Ray Fults was acting under the color of

law applicable to Grundy County and the State of Tennessee, and under his office as Grundy County Road Superintendent.

- 2. The plaintiff Mary Ann Stotts was an employee of the Grundy County Highway Department for a period of years as an accountant/bookkeeper until she was fired on September 4, 2018, after the election of the defendant Johnny Ray Fults to the office of Grundy County Road Superintendent. She was fired on September 4, 2018. The separation notice states as follows: "The decision has been made to hire someone else for the ADMINISTRATIVE/MANAGERIAL position who the County Road Superintendent feels is better suited for the position." The defendant Hearlene A. Disheroon was an employee of good standing with the Grundy County Highway Department for an extended period of time. The defendant Hearlene A. Disheroon was fired from her position as secretary on September 4, 2018. The separation notice in her case stated the same as what was stated in the separation notice for the plaintiff Mary Ann Stotts. Both women were good and dutiful employees of the Grundy County Highway Department, and they had done satisfactory work during the time they were employed. Their positions were neither a policy-making nor confidential position, and political affiliation was not an appropriate requirement for the effective performance of their jobs.
- 3. The Grundy County election that resulted in the defendant Johnny Ray Fults was a spirited campaign. The plaintiffs supported the previous superintendent Hubert Hargis. Mr. Hargis was the opponent of the defendant Johnny Ray Fults in the election. The plaintiffs supported Mr. Hargis in his campaign, and their support for Mr. Hargis was within their constitutional right of expression of association and speech, and the plaintiffs acted within their rights as citizens. Their political opposition to the defendant Johnny Ray Fults was open and obvious. The plaintiffs were fired from their positions because of their political opposition to the

defendant Johnny Ray Fults. The discharge of the plaintiffs under these circumstance have resulted in emotional damage and humiliation.

- 4. Patronage dismissals of governmental employees who do not hold policy-making or confidential positions have been recognized as unconstitutional. In this case the plaintiffs have been wrongfully discharged in violation of their federal constitutional right to free expression, free association, and free speech; and they file this action pursuant to 40 U.S.C. §1983. That section states that a person who, under color of law, is subjected to the contravention of any rights, privileges or immunity secured by the constitution can maintain an action. The defendant Grundy County delegated its decision-making authority for hiring and firing employees at the Grundy County Highway Department to the defendant Johnny Ray Fults. In this case the individual defendant Johnny Ray Fults, the newly elected Grundy County Road Superintendent, acted under color of law as the road superintendent of Grundy County, a governmental entity, in firing the plaintiffs and discharging them from their lawful employment.
- 5. The termination of the plaintiffs for failing to support the defendant Johnny Ray Fults in the election held in Grundy County, Tennessee, and in supporting his opponent Hubert Hargis, violated the constitutional rights of both plaintiffs.

Wherefore, premises being considered, the plaintiffs pray as follows:

- 1. That the defendants be served with process, requiring them to appear and answer this complaint.
- 2. That upon a hearing of this cause, the plaintiffs be granted relief, including reinstatement to their jobs, the award of back-pay, front-pay, additional loss of income and fringe benefits, punitive damages, pre-judgment and post-judgment interest as to all amounts awarded.

and an award of all costs incurred in pursuing this action, and including discretionary costs and attorney fees.

- 3. For actual and compensatory damages, including emotional damages and humiliation in the amount of \$500,000.
 - 4. That a jury try this action.
- 5. That the plaintiffs be granted general and further relief as this Court may deem just and proper.

Respectfully submitted,

SWAFFORD, PETERS, PRIEST & HALL

By:

Robert S. Peters, BPR No. 3630 120 North Jefferson Street Winchester, Tennessee 37398

(931) 967-3888

rspeters@spphlaw.com Attorney for plaintiffs