

IN THE CRIMINAL COURT FOR BRADLEY COUNTY, TENNESSEE

STATE OF TENNESSEE

v.

No 17-CR-206

MIRANDA MICHELLE CHEATHAM

AFFIDAVIT OF DANIEL GIBBS

Comes now the affiant, Daniel Gibbs and upon being sworn to an oath, deposes and says:

1. I am a citizen and resident of Bradley County, Tennessee and am over eighteen (18) years of age.
2. I am employed by the Cleveland Police Department.
3. I was the lead investigator on the case of State of Tennessee v. Miranda Cheatham.
4. I believed that probable cause existed to arrest Miranda Cheatham the day after the shooting when I received the pictures of the bullet trajectories in the body from the medical examiner. The trajectories were not consistent with Ms. Cheatham's version of the events of the shooting.
5. I shared those trajectories with General Crump and Investigator Calvin Rockholt the day I got them.
6. The decision was made that day by the District Attorney's Office to wait on the completion of relevant forensics testing which had been submitted to the Tennessee Bureau of Investigation.

7. The plan was to present the case to the grand jury after receipt of the relevant forensic testing.
8. In my investigation of this case, the most important forensics were determining whether the victim's DNA was located under the fingernails of the Defendant.
9. This was important to determining the validity of the self-defense theory of Ms. Cheatham.
10. Ballistics were not particularly important, as there was no question as to the weapon used to kill Cheatham.
11. Micro-Analysis had some importance so that we could hopefully determine the distance from the muzzle of the weapon used to kill James Cheatham. However, we did want to wait for those to determine if they were helpful.
12. On May 6th, 2017, I received the DNA results from the Tennessee Bureau of Investigation.
13. On May 23rd, 2017, I transmitted my casefile on this matter to the DA's office.
14. I received the recording that is in question on May 31st, 2017.
15. I received it from John Loach.
16. John Loach was the brother of the victim.
17. He had been very critical of the progress of charging the case and said many times he would go to the Federal Bureau of Investigation or other agencies to have the case removed from our investigation and the District Attorney's Office review.

18. I notified my chain of command about the recording. I did not listen to the recording until many months later.
19. On June 16th, 2017, I transmitted the Micro-Analysis results from the Tennessee Bureau of Investigation to the DA's Office which completed the forensics testing necessary to move forward.
20. I did not know that I was appearing on the case at the Bradley County Grand Jury until the Monday before the case was presented on Wednesday, June 21, 2017.
21. I presented the case to the Grand Jury. Drew Robinson was the Assistant District Attorney advising the grand jury. We presented the case without requesting the grand jury to return a specific charge.
22. I presented all of the facts of the case, and Assistant District Attorney Robinson advised the Grand Jury as to the law of case.
23. I was in the Grand Jury for over an hour on the case and specifically outlined the self defense claim of Miranda Cheatham.
24. There was never pressure by General Crump or any other member of the District Attorney's office to delay or speed the case up. From the beginning, we agreed to wait on the forensics to present the case to the grand jury.
25. There was never any indication to me that any outside coercion or undue influence was used against the DA's office.
26. Waiting on the forensics was by far the best route to go in this investigation, as was not seeking a particular charge.

27. The decision not to seek a particular charge, but to let the grand jury select the charge, was made by the District Attorney's Office.

28. In August of 2019, I was asked by Chief of Police Mark Gibson if I still had the recording. I replied that I did. He told me that Attorney Stephen Hatchett was going to file an open records request for the recording. Attorney Hatchett had not previously been involved in the case.

29. I saw no signs of any form of coercion in this case. General Crump never once asked me to rush any part of the investigation nor did he try to influence my investigation or the presentation of the evidence to the grand jury.

FURTHER AFFIANT SAYETH NOT.


LT. DANIEL GIBBS

SWORN TO AND SUBSCRIBED BEFORE ME THIS 28th day of August, 2020


Notary Public

My Commission expires: 5-19-2024



IN THE CRIMINAL COURT FOR BRADLEY COUNTY, TENNESSEE

STATE OF TENNESSEE

v.

No 17-CR-206

MIRANDA MICHELLE CHEATHAM

AFFIDAVIT OF MARK GIBSON

Comes now the affiant, Mark Gibson, and upon being sworn to an oath, deposes and says:

1. I am a citizen and resident of Bradley County, Tennessee and am over eighteen (18) years of age.
2. I am employed as the Chief of the Cleveland Police Department.
3. I was employed in that position during the time that the case of State of Tennessee v. Miranda Cheatham was being investigated and prosecuted.
4. Lt. Daniel Gibbs was the lead investigator on that case.
5. I stayed in close contact with Lt. Gibbs because it was a controversial homicide case.
6. On May 31st, 2017, Lt. Gibbs called me and said Loach had brought a CD that involves General Crump.
7. I met with Lt. Gibbs and obtained the recording from him.
8. I listened to the recording alone.
9. The recording did not seem credible to me. I could not recognize any voices.
10. After I listened to the recording, I talked to Lt. Gibbs about it.

11. We determined that the recording was not evidentiary. The recording did not talk about any potential witness, nor did it contain any information about the homicide.
12. We viewed our dealing with the recording as procedural.
13. I called General Crump and ask him to come to the police department to meet with us.
14. I do not recall specifically who was present, but I believe that Capt. Robert Harbison was present along with General Crump.
15. I explained the gist of the recording to General Crump.
16. We did not discuss the details of the recording.
17. I told General Crump that I believed the recording was not of any evidentiary value.
18. General Crump reacted appropriately to my description of the recording. He did not react defensively and was not agitated.
19. General Crump was cooperative and offered his cell phone for us to look through.
20. We declined to look through General Crump's cell phone.
21. General Crump told us to call whoever we felt we needed to call about the recording.
22. General Crump indicated that he would contact the District Attorneys General Conference or arrange for a District Attorney General pro tem if we thought it necessary or if it became necessary later in the case.

23. I offered to allow General Crump to listen to the recording, but he declined and stated that he did not want to listen to the recording.
24. I do not recall our department giving a copy to anyone in the District Attorney's Office, but I am sure that we did not give a copy to General Crump.
25. My recollection is that General Crump said that if he needed a copy of the recording, he would send Calvin Rockholt, his investigator, to get a copy.
26. There are no evidence receipts or any other documents indicating we released a copy to his office.
27. After the trial of the case, I did not hear any more about the recording until Attorney Stephen Hatchett came to see me about the recording.
28. Mr. Hatchett came to my office at the police department and told me that he was going to submit an open records request for the recording.
29. Mr. Hatchett said that if the department did not have a copy, he had another way to get it.
30. I went and met with General Crump about Mr. Hatchett's request. General Crump told me that it was an open record and anyone who wanted one was entitled to it.
31. If there had been anything on the recording that was evidence of a criminal act by any party, I would have sent it to an appropriate authority. If I had believed that the recording was evidence of any criminal action on the part of

District Attorney Crump, I would have contacted the Tennessee Bureau of Investigation and would not have informed General Crump of the recording.

32. The recording didn't even raise a suspicion of anything in my mind.

33. It lacked any credibility to me. It seemed like an attempt to have General Crump removed from the case.

34. I did not believe that anything was done or not done based on any undue influence. I never saw any action of the District Attorney's Office that led me to believe that anything but the facts and the law were controlling the case.

35. It was prudent to have all laboratory reports on this case. It was prudent to wait on the forensics and because of the unique nature of the facts and the parties of this case, this case needed that extra scrutiny.

36. I thought this case was a second degree homicide based on what we could prove.

37. I thought the presentation of all the charges were very fair. It was the fairest and smartest approach to this case given the facts we could prove.

FURTHER AFFIANT SAYETH NOT.



CHIEF MARK GIBSON

SWORN TO AND SUBSCRIBED BEFORE ME THIS 28th day of August, 2020


Notary Public

My Commission expires: 5-19-2021



IN THE CRIMINAL COURT FOR BRADLEY COUNTY, TENNESSEE

STATE OF TENNESSEE

v.

No 17-CR-206

MIRANDA MICHELLE CHEATHAM

AFFIDAVIT OF ROBERT HARBISON

Comes now the affiant, Robert Harbison, and upon being sworn to an oath, deposes and says:

1. I am a citizen and resident of Bradley County, Tennessee and am over eighteen (18) years of age.
2. I am employed as a Captain in the Cleveland Police Department.
3. I was made aware of the recording in question on May 31st, 2017.
4. I have never listened to the full recording. I have listened to the first few seconds, but nothing beyond that.
5. I have never possessed a copy of the recording.
6. I have never delivered a copy of the recording to anyone. I have not delivered a copy of the recording to the District Attorney's Office.
7. I was present in the meeting with District Attorney Crump when Chief Mark Gibson informed District Attorney Crump about the existence of the recording.
8. Assistant Chief Stacy Smith was also present during the meeting.

9. I believe that either Calvin Rockholt was present or was mentioned in the meeting.
10. Chief Mark Gibson told District Attorney Crump about the general allegations on the recording.
11. Upon hearing about the recording, District Attorney Crump offered his cell phone for our review.
12. We declined to review his cell phone.
13. The recording was not played during this meeting.
14. District Attorney Crump did not take a copy of the recording that we had prepared.
15. District Attorney Crump told us that we should maintain the recording and preserve it.

FURTHER AFFIANT SAYETH NOT.



ROBERT HARBISON

SWORN TO AND SUBSCRIBED BEFORE ME THIS 31st day of August, 2020



Notary Public

My Commission expires: 5-19-2021



IN THE CRIMINAL COURT FOR BRADLEY COUNTY, TENNESSEE

STATE OF TENNESSEE

v.

No 17-CR-206

MIRANDA MICHELLE CHEATHAM


AFFIDAVIT OF STACY SMITH

Comes now the affiant, Stacy Smith, and upon being sworn to an oath, deposes and says:

1. I am a citizen and resident of Bradley County, Tennessee and am over eighteen (18) years of age.
2. I am employed as the Assistant Chief of Police in the Cleveland Police Department.
3. I was made aware of the recording in question on May 31st, 2017.
4. I have never listened to the full recording.
5. I have never possessed a copy of the recording.
6. I have never delivered a copy of the recording to anyone. I have not delivered a copy of the recording to the District Attorney's Office.
7. I was present in the meeting with District Attorney Crump when Chief Mark Gibson informed District Attorney Crump about the existence of the recording.
8. Captain Robert Harbison was also present during the meeting.

9. I do not believe that Calvin Rockholt was present however he could have been mentioned in the meeting.
10. Upon hearing about the recording, District Attorney Crump offered his cell phone for our review.
11. We declined to review his cell phone.
12. The recording was not played during this meeting.
13. I do not recall District Attorney Crump taking a copy of the recording that we had prepared.
14. District Attorney Crump told us that we should maintain the recording and preserve it.
15. During the meeting, I recall a discussion about District Attorney Crump contacting the Tennessee District Attorneys Conference if necessary.

FURTHER AFFIANT SAYETH NOT.



STACY SMITH

SWORN TO AND SUBSCRIBED BEFORE ME THIS 31st day of August, 2020



Notary Public

My Commission expires: 5-19-2021



IN THE CRIMINAL COURT FOR BRADLEY COUNTY, TENNESSEE

STATE OF TENNESSEE

v.

No 17-CR-206

MIRANDA MICHELLE CHEATHAM

AFFIDAVIT OF STEPHEN CRUMP

Comes now the affiant, Stephen Crump, and upon being sworn to an oath, deposes and says:

1. I am a citizen and resident of Bradley County, Tennessee and am over eighteen (18) years of age.
2. I am the District Attorney General for the Tenth Judicial District.
3. From September of 2006 until July 1, 2014, I was engaged in the private practice of law. In addition to taking general civil and criminal matter.
4. I also operated a title insurance agency.
5. The title agency of Crump & Richardson is still an active entity that performs title and closing services for clients that it serviced before my election.
6. The firm has two employees who only perform title and closing services.
7. I was a massage therapy client of Dana Cheatham-Pritchard when she worked at Berywood Aesthetics.
8. During my private practice, I also represented Mrs. Cheatham-Pritchard along with other members of her immediate family.

9. At no time did I ever represent Miranda Cheatham or James "Tooter" Cheatham.
10. I advised other members of the Cheatham family, but was never retained, to my knowledge, by any of them.
11. The allegations of the purported recording are untrue.
12. I have never been present with Dana Cheatham-Pritchard in a social setting.
13. I have only seen her in a professional setting and context.
14. I have never been to a hotel with Dana Cheatham-Pritchard for any reason.
15. I did not go to Knoxville or anywhere else to have an affair or sexual relations with Dana Cheatham-Pritchard.
16. I have never been to Knoxville or any other city or location with Dana Cheatham-Pritchard.
17. I have never seen Dana Cheatham-Pritchard except in my law office or in the spa where she worked.
18. I have never met alone with Dana Cheatham-Pritchard in the Office of the District Attorney General.
19. I have never been present with Dana Cheatham-Pritchard in any place except during regular business hours.
20. I have never been to a private residence with Dana Cheatham-Pritchard for any reason.
21. At no time was I ever approached by Dana Cheatham-Pritchard and pressured or extorted regarding any matter.

22. Dana Cheatham-Pritchard did not attempt to extort or “blackmail” me in the case of State of Tennessee v. Miranda Cheatham.
23. No one in my family has ever received any massage therapy services that were not paid for in full.
24. I worked with the Cleveland Police Department during the investigation of the shooting death of James Cheatham.
25. I believed that probable cause existed early in the investigation.
26. I worked with then Detective Daniel Gibbs as he worked through the case.
27. I believed that the forensic testing was extremely important in this case.
28. There were questions raised by the version of the shooting told by Miranda Cheatham that made eliminating self defense a necessary part of the investigation.
29. The tests that were important were the results of DNA testing from under Miranda Cheatham’s fingernails and the micro-analysis to determine the distance from the muzzle of the murder weapon to the victim when the shooting occurred.
30. We also wanted to determine if any evidence of premeditation could be found.
31. The family of James Cheatham was very active in the case as the investigation proceeded.
32. James Loach was very dissatisfied with the pace of the investigation and at a meeting in May of 2017 stated that if our office didn’t charge Miranda Cheatham quickly he would see that someone else prosecuted the case.

33. The case file of the investigation was delivered by Daniel Gibbs electronically on May 23rd, 2017.
34. The next day I found out which Assistant District Attorney was in the grand jury in June. That Assistant District Attorney was Drew Robinson.
35. I spoke with ADA Robinson about the case. We talked through all the different possibilities and I decided to have him present four options to the grand jury for their consideration.
36. Those options were them to return an indictment for either First Degree (Premeditated) murder, Second Degree Murder, Voluntary Manslaughter and Self-Defense.
37. I instructed my Administrative Assistant, Mehye Scott not to prepare indictments until the micro-analysis report was received.
38. The indictments were prepared on June 16th, 2017 when the micro-analysis report was received by our office.
39. I was made aware of the recording in question on May 31st, 2017.
40. I went to the Cleveland Police Department for that meeting.
41. Present in the meeting was Daniel Gibbs, who left shortly after I arrived. Assistant Chief Stacy Smith was present but left shortly after the meeting commenced. Captain Robert Harbison was present, as was Chief Mark Gibson.
42. Chief Gibson outlined the general allegations of the recording. He did not discuss the details of the recording.

43. I offered my cell phone for their review and Chief Gibson declined my offer.
44. Chief Gibson said he did not view the recording as credible and said that the recording contained no evidence related to any criminal offense.
45. Chief Gibson indicated that the department had made the decision to place the recording in a "miscellaneous" category.
46. I told them that they should preserve and maintain the recording.
47. The recording was not played in that meeting.
48. I did not hear the recording until August 3rd, 2020.
49. No one came with me to the meeting.
50. Our office never asked for a copy of the recording.
51. I did not listen to the recording because I believed the recording to be an ploy or attempt by John Loach to create a basis for removing me from the prosecution of this matter. I did not want
52. At Miranda Cheatham's arraignment on August 3, 2017, I went to speak to Amy Reedy who was counsel for Mrs. Cheatham.
53. On that day, I approached then counsel for the defendant, Amy Reedy, to discuss the potential conflicts of interest in the case.
54. While I disputed and still dispute the allegations in the recording, I believed that counsel should be made aware that potential conflicts existed and they should be discussed.
55. I believe that Amy Reedy will confirm the substance of that discussion.

56. I believe that Amy Reedy will confirm that I told her that potential conflicts had arisen in the case and that they should discuss them. I believe

57. I believe Amy Reedy will confirm that I indicated that the potential conflicts involved both personal and professional relationships with members of the victim's family.

58. I believe that Amy Reedy will confirm that I told her that we could discuss them or, that if Mrs. Reedy felt it more appropriate, she could file a motion to recuse my office, and then we could discuss the potential conflicts.

59. I believe that Mrs. Reedy will confirm that I told Mrs. Reedy that if the defendant asked Mrs. Reedy to continue moving forward with the motion to recuse, I would agree to the recusal of my office and that I had secured the agreement of the Knox County District Attorney General to serve as a District Attorney General pro tempore.

60. I had previously spoken with Sixth Judicial District Attorney General Charme Allen of Knoxville and she had agreed for her office to provide a prosecutor from her office to prosecute the case if I recused my office.

61. Chief Gibson came to my office sometime in late 2019 and told me that Stephen Hatchett had asked about the recording.

62. I told Chief Gibson that he should give a copy of the recording to Mr. Hatchett if he provided them the appropriate request.

63. I have always believed that the recording was a public record.

64. I have never instructed anyone to hide the recording or not provide it.

FURTHER AFFIANT SAYETH NOT.


STEPHEN CRUMP

SWORN TO AND SUBSCRIBED BEFORE ME THIS 31st day of August, 2020


Notary Public

My Commission expires: 5-19-2021



IN THE CRIMINAL COURT FOR BRADLEY COUNTY, TENNESSEE

STATE OF TENNESSEE

v.

No 17-CR-206

MIRANDA MICHELLE CHEATHAM

AFFIDAVIT OF CALVIN ROCKHOLT

Comes now the affiant, Calvin Rockholt, and upon being sworn to an oath, deposes and says:

1. I am a citizen and resident of Meigs County, Tennessee and am over eighteen (18) years of age.
2. I am employed as an Investigator by the District Attorney General for the Tenth Judicial District.
3. I attended a meeting with the Cheatham family in May of 2017. During that meeting, John Loach said that he would "get" General Crump "off the case" if something was not done soon and the Defendant was not charged soon. The Cheatham family was very insistent that the case be charged as a First Degree Murder case.
4. General Crump and I had frequently discussed the case and our opinion was that this was a Second Degree Murder case. General Crump told the Cheatham family it was most likely a Second Degree Murder case.

5. I did not accompany General Crump to the meeting at the Cleveland Police Department regarding the recording in question.
6. I did not receive a recording from anyone at the Cleveland Police Department regarding the Cheatham case.
7. I know I did not receive this recording because I always listen to any recording that I receive into this office.
8. I had never heard the recording in question until August 3rd, 2020.
9. I spoke with John Loach on August 14th, 2020. During that conversation he told me that the photos referenced in the recording never existed and that he had never possessed the photographs referenced in the recording.

FURTHER AFFIANT SAYETH NOT.


CALVIN ROCKHOLT

SWORN TO AND SUBSCRIBED BEFORE ME THIS 31st day of August, 2020


Notary Public

My Commission expires: 5-19-2021



IN THE CRIMINAL COURT FOR BRADLEY COUNTY, TENNESSEE

STATE OF TENNESSEE

v.

No 17-CR-206

MIRANDA MICHELLE CHEATHAM

AFFIDAVIT OF COTY WAMP

Comes now the affiant, Coty Wamp, and upon being sworn to an oath, deposes and says:

1. I am a citizen and resident of Hamilton County, Tennessee and am over eighteen (18) years of age.
2. I am employed as General Counsel by the Hamilton County Sheriff's Office.
3. Before my current employment, I was employed by the District Attorney General's Office in the Tenth Judicial District.
4. I was the lead prosecutor on the case of State of Tennessee v. Miranda Cheatham.
5. I made all of the decisions as to the trial of the case and was never instructed how to try the case by anyone in the office.
6. I learned of the existence of the recording prior to the trial of the case.
7. Daniel Gibbs, the lead investigator, told me of its existence.
8. I did not listen to the recording at that time.

9. In early September of 2019, and after the trial of the case, I was informed that attorney Stephen Hatchett was asking for the recording that forms the subject of the instant motions and responses.
10. I subsequently learned that attorney Bill Speek was also asking for recording.
11. I talked with General Crump and we agreed that the recording was a public record and that anyone was entitled to get the recording.
12. I called Mr. Speek and we discussed the recording via telephone from my office and I described generally the recording he had requested.
13. I am positive that I told Mr. Speek it was a conversation between Dana Hicks and John Loach.
14. I told Mr. Speek to do an open records request and the Cleveland Police Department will give him a copy.
15. Following this inquiry, Det. Gibbs and I decided we should listen to the recording.
16. We listened to the recording.
17. Nothing on the recording seemed credible to me. I shared my assessment with General Crump.
18. I never saw any indication that General Crump was coerced or influenced in any way in this case. I did not once receive any direction from General Crump to do anything other than to try the case well and let the jury do its job.

19. The case was a very solid second-degree murder case. It was correctly indicted, in my opinion.
20. There were no further requests from anyone for the recording for around eight (8) months.
21. In May or June of 2020, Bill Speek asked for a recorded interview between Dana Hicks and a Cleveland Police Department detective. I told him that I did not know what he was talking about. There was only the one recorded statement, and Mr. Speek had already been given that statement.
22. In mid-July, Attorney Speek called me after hours and says "shoot straight with me, do you know what recording I'm talking about" I reiterated that there is no recorded interview with Dana and a CPD Detective but that there was a recording that I had already told him about back in the fall between Dana Cheatham and John Loach.
23. Mr. Speek asked me "Isn't John Loach a detective?"
24. I repeated that I told him months ago to do the public records request and he would receive the recording. Mr. Speek then said that he thought I was just "bullshitting" him and would deny the request. I emphatically told him no, we were always going to give it to him.
25. One (1) to two (2) days later he sent someone to CPD and got it.

FURTHER AFFIANT SAYETH NOT.

Coty Wamp
COTY WAMP

SWORN TO AND SUBSCRIBED BEFORE ME THIS 28th day of August, 2020

Leisa D. Scoggins Winner
Notary Public

My Commission expires: 5-19-2021



IN THE CRIMINAL COURT FOR BRADLEY COUNTY, TENNESSEE

STATE OF TENNESSEE

v.

No 17-CR-206

MIRANDA MICHELLE CHEATHAM

AFFIDAVIT OF MARTIN DREW ROBINSON

Comes now the affiant, Martin Drew Robinson, and upon being sworn to an oath, deposes and says:

1. I am a citizen and resident of Bradley County, Tennessee and am over eighteen (18) years of age.
2. I am employed as an Assistant District Attorney General by the District Attorney General for the Tenth Judicial District.
3. I was the Assistant District Attorney that handled the presentation of State of Tennessee v. Miranda Cheatham to the Bradley County Grand Jury.
4. General Crump and I discussed the case before its presentation.
5. We determined, due to the particular facts of that case, that we should not ask the Grand Jury to return any particular charge, but rather instruct them on all possible charges and ask them to choose the correct one based upon their judgment.
6. General Crump did not instruct me to emphasize or direct them towards a particular verdict.

7. The case was presented by Daniel Gibbs. We did not ask for a particular charge in that presentation.
8. I instructed the Grand Jury as to the law on First Degree Murder, Second Degree Murder, Voluntary Manslaughter and Self Defense.
9. I then left the Grand Jury Room.

FURTHER AFFIANT SAYETH NOT.



MARTIN DREW ROBINSON

SWORN TO AND SUBSCRIBED BEFORE ME THIS 28th day of August, 2020



Notary Public

My Commission expires: 5-19-2021



IN THE CRIMINAL COURT FOR BRADLEY COUNTY, TENNESSEE

STATE OF TENNESSEE

v.

No 17-CR-206

MIRANDA MICHELLE CHEATHAM

AFFIDAVIT OF MEHYE SCOTT

Comes now the affiant, Mehye Scott, and upon being sworn to an oath, deposes and says:

1. I am a citizen and resident of Bradley County, Tennessee and am over eighteen (18) years of age.
2. I am employed as the Administrative Assistant by the District Attorney General for the Tenth Judicial District.
3. I received the case file on State of Tennessee v. Miranda Cheatham from Detective Daniel Gibbs on May 23rd, 2017.
4. The case file was uploaded to a shared drive on that day by Detective Gibbs.
5. I uploaded everything I received from anyone on this case. I was not asked to leave anything out of the uploaded materials.
6. I had been instructed by General Crump to wait to prepare any indictments until all the necessary laboratory results had been obtained by our office.
7. Detective Daniel Gibbs provided the last necessary laboratory results on June 16th, 2017.

8. I prepared the indictments on June 16th, 2017, once I received the laboratory report.

FURTHER AFFIANT SAYETH NOT.

Mehye L. Scott
MEHYE SCOTT

SWORN TO AND SUBSCRIBED BEFORE ME THIS 28th day of August, 2020

Leisa D. Scoggins Winnie
Notary Public

My Commission expires: 5-19-2021



IN THE CRIMINAL COURT FOR BRADLEY COUNTY, TENNESSEE

STATE OF TENNESSEE

v.

No 17-CR-206

MIRANDA MICHELLE CHEATHAM


AFFIDAVIT OF THERESA CRUMP

Comes now the affiant, Theresa Crump, and upon being sworn to an oath, deposes and says:

1. I am a citizen and resident of Bradley County, Tennessee and am over eighteen (18) years of age.
2. I am self-employed.
3. I am married to Stephen Crump, the District Attorney General of the Tenth Judicial District.
4. During the years of 2010-2014 I made all of my husband's travel arrangements. I made them using Expedia or other online travel services. I only stopped making the arrangements when my husband was appointed and then elected District Attorney General.
5. I also paid or saw every credit card bill for both me and my husband during those periods of time. The only times I did not pay the credit card bills would have been when I identified a charge that was related to Stephen's work. I still would have seen those charges.

6. I did not make any travel arrangements or hotel reservations for Stephen in Knoxville that I did not go with him during those years. We only went one time that I recall and stayed near the World's Fair Site in a Hampton Inn with our children.
7. I made those reservations for that trip.
8. I do not recall making any other reservations for hotels in Knoxville during those years.
9. There were no charges for hotel stays during those times that I did not make, whether in Knoxville or in any other place.
10. I never received any services for massages from Dana Cheatham-Pritchard that I did not pay for.
11. My children never received any services for massages from Dana Cheatham-Pritchard that I did not pay for.

FURTHER AFFIANT SAYETH NOT.


THERESA CRUMP

SWORN TO AND SUBSCRIBED BEFORE ME THIS 31st day of August, 2020


Notary Public

My Commission expires: 5-19-2021



IN THE CRIMINAL COURT FOR BRADLEY COUNTY, TENNESSEE

STATE OF TENNESSEE

v.

No 17-CR-206

MIRANDA MICHELLE CHEATHAM

AFFIDAVIT OF CHARME P. ALLEN

Comes now the affiant, Charme P. Allen, and upon being sworn to an oath, deposes and says:

1. I am a citizen and resident of Knox County, Tennessee and am over eighteen (18) years of age.
2. I am the District Attorney General for the Sixth Judicial District.
3. I was approached by Stephen Crump, the District Attorney General in the Tenth Judicial District and asked if my office would serve as a District Attorney General pro tem in a homicide case in his district, should the need arise.
4. He asked if one of my homicide prosecutors could handle the case if he recused his office.
5. I agreed for my office to serve as the pro tem in the case, if necessary.
6. General Crump had prosecuted a homicide case in my district earlier in the year and I was happy to take one for him. This was in 2017.

FURTHER AFFIANT SAYETH NOT.


Char P. Allen

CHARME P. ALLEN

SWORN TO AND SUBSCRIBED BEFORE ME THIS 31 day of August, 2020

Jackie Myers
Notary Public

My Commission expires: 3/3/21



IN THE CRIMINAL COURT FOR BRADLEY COUNTY, TENNESSEE

STATE OF TENNESSEE

v.

No 17-CR-206

MIRANDA MICHELLE CHEATHAM

AFFIDAVIT OF ROSE STANBERY

Comes now the affiant, Rose Stanbery, and upon being sworn to an oath, deposes and says:

1. I am a citizen and resident of Bradley County, Tennessee and am over eighteen (18) years of age.
2. I own Berywood Aesthetics, a local spa.
3. As part of our services, we offer massage therapy.
4. Dana Cheatham-Pritchard was a therapist in my spa for several years.
5. Theresa Crump never received any services for massages from Dana Cheatham-Pritchard for which my business was not paid.
6. Stephen and Theresa Crump's children never received any services for massages from Dana Cheatham-Pritchard for which my spa was not paid.

FURTHER AFFIANT SAYETH NOT.



ROSE STANBERY

SWORN TO AND SUBSCRIBED BEFORE ME THIS 31st day of August, 2020

Leisa D. Scoggins Winner
Notary Public

My Commission expires: 5-19-2021

